# Assessing the Value of Pecan Trees

# THE SAMUEL ROBERTS FOUNDATION

NF-AE-08-01

#### by Dan Childs, Job Springer, Charles Rohla and Steve Upson

It is the intent of this publication to provide methodology that appraisers, tax preparers and other interested parties can use in determining the value of pecan trees. Pecan trees are indigenous (native) to the United States. They were first recorded by early explorers during expeditions across America. Native Americans taught them to eat the high quality kernels ("pecans") found inside the nuts. The trees grew wild and today are referred to as native pecan trees because they occur naturally. Pecan is the only major cultivated nut native to the United States. It is particularly adapted to the Mississippi Valley<sup>1</sup>, westward into central Oklahoma and Texas, southward into northern Mexico and as far north as northern Illinois. The nuts produced by these native trees are known by their somewhat hard shells and very flavorful kernels.

Over time, landowners have commercialized the production of nuts produced by pecan trees. Over the last 100 years, pecan researchers have enhanced commercialization of pecans through selection and traditional plant breeding techniques. The result is cultivars (varieties) that produce larger, thinner-shelled nuts. These pecan trees and their nuts are often referred to as "improved" pecans. Propagation is accomplished through grafting of wood from a desirable cultivar onto a seedling or native pecan rootstock. These improved cultivars have been introduced in many other parts of the United States as well as other countries, including Mexico, South Africa, Israel and Australia.

Today, pecan trees can be found growing across much of the southern United States from the Carolinas to California and as far north as southern Canada. Pecan trees are rarely



found growing naturally in areas that receive less than 30 inches of annual rainfall. However, irrigation technology has allowed plantings to occur in semi-arid regions such as west and southwest Texas, southern New Mexico and Arizona. Because of commercialization, irrigation, the development of more productive cultivars and better production technology, the acreage of pecan trees in the United States increased 20 percent between 1987 and 2002. In Oklahoma, pecan acreage increased by 91 percent during the same time period.<sup>2</sup>

In addition to the commercialization of native pecan trees managed as groves in natural environments and those planted and grafted to an improved cultivar in orchards, many homeowners have planted pecan trees or managed a wild seedling tree to enhance the landscape around their houses. Pecan trees make beautiful shade trees and give the homeowner an opportunity for a nice crop of pecans.

#### **Reasons for Determining Value**

Since citizens of the United States were first given the right to own land, the buying and selling of land quickly ensued. How prices are determined varies by transaction. Some uninformed buyers will pay above-market prices and uninformed sellers sometimes sell below the market. Market price is the price which an informed seller and an informed buyer agree upon. How do sellers and buyers become informed? Using an appraiser trained to accurately determine the value of property is the best way to determine market price. Appraisers are third-party professionals with a strong code of ethics and standards who provide unbiased values. Appraisers, however, have often had difficulty finding credible sources of information about pecan tree values on which to base appraisals. The amount a pecan tree contributes to the overall value of the land can be beneficial in assessing overall value of the property by appraisers and an estimate for how much of the purchase price should be allocated to pecan trees for depreciation purposes. In addition, individual pecan tree value can be estimated in situations where condemnation of property with pecan trees is needed to provide right-of-way for utilities, roads, pipelines and/or mineral exploration.

#### **Rules for Depreciating a Pecan Tree**

The first rule in depreciating any asset is that it has to be business property. Just because a pecan tree exists on a person's property does not necessarily mean it can be depreciated — it must be managed for profit. When land is purchased with existing nut-producing pecan trees, a portion of the purchase

The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation Agricultural Division

price can be allocated to each identified pecan tree in the year of purchase. This establishes the basis for depreciation. The trees are normally depreciated over a 10-year period using the straight-line method.

When pecan trees are planted, the rules for recovering the costs of establishment can be confusing. Farming businesses are required to capitalize all expenses incurred during the preproduction period. Another way of saying this is that all the expenses incurred between planting and the first year of production, often five to seven years, are not deducted and are combined together. This total becomes the basis for depreciation. All the preproductive expenses are then recovered over the next 10 years using the straight-line method. However, if a farmer agrees to use the 150 percent declining balance method for depreciable assets (instead of the 200 percent that all other business owners use), then they can expense or deduct all preproduction expenses for animals and plants that have a preproduction period of two years or less.

The Internal Revenue Service has stated that nut trees have a preproduction period of more than two years. Therefore, a farmer establishing a pecan orchard who elects to deduct the preproduction expenses must use the alternative depreciation system (ADS) for all agricultural assets while the election is in effect. The consequence of using ADS is that the recovery periods are longer. For example, breeding animals go from five to seven years, farm equipment from seven to 10 years, and trees or vines bearing fruit or nuts go from 10 to 20 years. If a farmer chooses to capitalize preproduction expenses of pecan orchards, then they can use the general depreciation system (GDS) for all agricultural assets, including the pecan orchard.

#### **Factors Affecting Value**

To assess the value of a pecan tree, several factors should be considered. First determine the purpose of the specific tree. Pecan trees are valued for their shade and appearance, firewood, lumber and nut production. Of these, this publication addresses the value of pecan trees for nut production. The Oklahoma State Forestry Division can help with evaluating trees for forest purposes, and an arborist can assist with valuations of shade trees. To value a pecan tree, it is important to know the history of the tree, including how it has been managed. A good pecan tree in the proper location with ample space to grow can produce nuts for many years. Typically, unmanaged native trees have little value for nut production. The only real values are firewood, lumber and shade.

#### **Inadequate Tree Spacing**

If trees are crowded, the potential production of the trees can be negatively affected. Pecan trees produce nuts on the terminal ends of branches. If the trees are crowded, then the number of branches is decreased, which, in turn, decreases the fruit bearing area. Another factor that greatly affects potential production of pecans is water and nutrient availability. If trees are crowded, then their root systems are stacked on top of each other. For example, if you have a tree that is 60 feet tall, then the root system for that tree is at least 60 feet on each side of the trunk. Therefore, there is at least a 120-foot-diameter circle of roots around the tree. If there is another tree within that 120-foot circle, then its root system is in direct competition with the first tree. If you have several trees close to that first tree, you could easily have an area around that root system competing with the root systems of 10 or more trees. This greatly stresses the tree, especially during times when moisture and nutrients are limited. If you thin these trees to a desired spacing, there will be less competition for water and nutrients, which will result in healthier trees and a higher production potential.

#### Fertilization

Proper fertilization is important for the health of pecan trees. Pecans require relatively low amounts of nutrients compared to other crops. However, if one of the nutrients is limited, then the production potential greatly diminishes. Nitrogen fertilizer is usually the most critical and is required on an annual basis. Other nutrients needed are determined by leaf sampling. If trees are not fertilized on a regular basis, then the production potential is decreased.

#### **Insect and Disease Control**

Production is measured by how many pounds of nuts are harvested from the trees. Even if a tree produces a crop, it has no value until it is harvested. Controlling insects and diseases is the best way to maximize the potential production of the grove or orchard. Insect control normally consists of spraying the trees for at least pecan weevil. Pecan weevil is the most damaging insect to pecan trees in Oklahoma and Texas. If trees are not sprayed for weevil, substantial production losses can occur. Well-managed trees will also be sprayed for pecan nut casebearer and foliage feeders (webworms, walnut caterpillars, etc.) when needed. Disease control is also important in harvesting a crop. Control in a native grove is best achieved by removing diseased trees. Chemical sprays may be used to control disease problems on susceptible trees.

#### Weed and Grass Control

For maximum production, the area beneath pecan trees should be managed to control weeds. Weeds and grass directly compete with trees for water and nutrients. Tall vegetation around the trees can increase disease incidence by restricting airflow, increasing humidity and prolonging leaf drying time from rain or dew. Ground cover should provide a suitable surface for orchard traffic and harvest but should not unduly compete with trees for water and nutrients. Various forage grasses are compatible with pecan trees and may also be used for grazing or hay. In those instances, additional fertilizer may be required, particularly when the forage is

Refere	*Cash If grov In adc in le 1. To t 2. To t	30.3	28.0	26.2	24.7	23.5	21.4	19.2	17.5	16.2	15.1	14.3	13.6	Avg. trunk dia. (in.)	ТАВ
nces: Heinric	flows discou /e managem lition, if grov !ss than ideal ss than ideal he left of Lin he right of Li	\$1,019.55	\$918.05	\$826.94	\$499.19	\$449.73	\$404.91	\$582.43	\$484.40	\$415.20	\$363.30	\$322.93	\$294.10	6	LE 1
chs, Herman	Inted at 6.25 ent (insect c location (i.e e A - Subtrac ne A - Subtrac	\$742.81	\$873.90	\$786.90	\$708.81	\$427.87	\$385.48	\$347.07	\$484.40	\$415.20	\$363.30	\$322.93	\$294.10	7	
, 1960 Okla	percent ontrol and consists of .,, soil type, .t 25 percei act 50 percei	\$552.47	\$649.96	\$764.66	\$688.54	\$620.21	\$558.79	\$337.30	\$303.68	\$415.20	\$363.30	\$322.93	\$294.10	∞	
homa Peca	fertilizer) is <sup>r</sup> unmanag climate), re nt ent	\$417.42	\$491.08	\$577.74	\$679.70	\$612.04	\$551.29	\$496.70	\$299.82	\$269.94	\$363.30	\$322.93	\$294.10	Q	Estin
n Growers	not used, r ad grass an iduce the b	\$319.33	\$375.68	\$441.97	\$519.97	\$611.73	\$550.83	\$496.16	\$447.03	\$269.84	\$242.58	\$322.93	\$294.10	10	nated Av
Proceeding	Average pr educe valu d weeds, m asic values	\$226.19	\$266.10	\$313.06	\$368.31	\$433.31	\$509.77	\$459.03	\$413.47	\$372.53	\$224.87	\$202.15	\$294.10	12	erage Va *Valu
js, pp. 27-29	ice per pou les by 25 pe lowed or no as follows:		\$180.95	\$212.88	\$250.45	\$294.65	\$346.65	\$407.82	\$367.22	\$330.78	\$298.02	\$179.89	\$161.96	15	lue of Na e of Tree
9 and Natio	ınd of nativ ercent. ot, or is			\$150.79	\$177.40	\$208.71	\$245.54	\$288.87	\$339.85	\$306.02	\$275.65	\$248.35	\$149.91	18	tive Pec s for Nut
nal Agricul	es \$0.865 ( Rule of Th				\$129.25	\$152.06	\$178.89	\$210.46	\$247.60	\$291.30	\$262.30	\$236.27	\$212.87	<u>Trees pe</u> 21	an Trees   Producti
tural Statist	1998-2007) Jimb: 1. A p 2. Wi –(E					\$113.09	\$133.05	\$156.53	\$184.16	\$216.65	\$254.89	\$229.51	\$206.74	<u>r Acre</u> 24	Receivin ion (valu
ics Service	) producing p th 100 pou r tree value xample: \$6						\$100.53	\$118.27	\$139.14	\$163.69	\$192.58	\$226.57	\$204.01	27	g Proper e in dolla
— Oklahoi	vecan tree i nds change changes b 92 per acre							\$90.48	\$106.44	\$125.23	\$147.32	\$173.32	\$203.91	30	Manage ar per tre
ma Quick S	*Total cos s worth ab a in yield pe ÷ 6 trees p								\$82.25	\$96.77	\$113.84	\$133.93	\$157.57	33	e)
lats	*Optii t of produc out 8 times ar acre, per ded by tree er acre = \$								\$64.09	\$75.40	\$88.70	\$104.35	\$122.77	36	deal Soil
	nal grove v tion is 50% the value c acre value ¢ s per acre. 115.33 valu									\$57.68	\$67.86	\$79.83	\$93.92	40	S
	vill produce of yearly g of its averag of trees cha of trees cha									\$44.57	\$52.43	\$61.69	\$72.57	4	
	e 884 poun pross reven ye annual p inges by \$6 ier tree)										\$40.86	\$48.07 \$	\$56.55	48	
	ids per acri ue per acri vroduction y92;											\$37.71	\$44.37 \$	52	
	· in u												35.02	56	

62				Estima	ted Avera	ge Value c *Value o	of Improv of Trees fo	red Peca or Nut Pr	in Trees oductio	Receivin on (value	g Proper in dollar	Manage per tree	ement in	ldeal So	si				
6 7 8	7 8	ω		6	10	12	15	18	Frees per <i>F</i> 21	Acre 24	27	30 <b>A</b>	33	36	40	4	48	52	56
\$670.65 \$631.20 \$62	\$631.20 \$62	\$62	1.34	631.20	\$662.76	\$664.08	\$551.25	\$510.22	\$485.42	\$471.43	\$465.22	\$464.98	\$359.11	\$280.10	\$214.21	\$165.33	\$128.87	\$101.05	\$79.75
\$736.40 \$710.10 \$7	\$710.10 \$7	\$7.	10.10	\$736.40	\$796.89	\$689.06	\$612.26	\$566.33	\$538.77	\$523.37	\$516.65	\$395.03	\$305.56	\$238.02	\$181.86	\$140.59	\$109.47	\$85.88	
\$828.45 \$811.54 \$8	\$811.54 \$8	\$8	28.45	\$885.43	\$826.87	\$765.33	\$679.59	\$628.57	\$598.14	\$581.23	\$438.92	\$336.11	\$259.65	\$202.07	\$154.64	\$119.43	\$93.04		
\$946.80 \$946.80 \$5	\$946.80 \$9	5\$	96.11	\$918.75	\$918.40	\$849.49	\$754.28	\$697.83	\$664.26	\$493.78	\$373.46	\$285.62	\$220.44	\$171.83	\$131.37	\$101.49			
\$1,104.60 \$1,138.41 \$1,	\$1,138.41 \$1,	\$1,	033.59	\$1,020.44	\$1,019.39	\$942.86	\$837.39	\$774.97	\$564.32	\$420.14	\$317.35	\$242.49	\$187.45	\$145.97					
\$1,328.15 \$1,181.25 \$1	\$1,181.25 \$1	\$1	,148.00	\$1,132.65	\$1,131.43	\$1,046.74	\$929.97	\$658.38	\$480.16	\$357.02	\$269.43	\$206.19							
\$1,378.12 \$1,311.99 \$1	\$1,311.99 \$1	\$1	,274.24	\$1,257.14	\$1,256.09	\$1,162.46	\$790.05	\$560.19	\$408.03	\$303.11	\$229.10								
\$1,530.66 \$1,456.27 \$	\$1,456.27 \$	ŝ	l,414.28	\$1,395.65	\$1,394.95	\$987.57	\$672.23	\$476.03	\$346.41	\$257.74									
\$1,698.98 \$1,616.32 \$	\$1,616.32 \$	ŝ	1,570.11	\$1,549.95	\$1,185.08	\$840.29	\$571.24	\$404.14	\$294.56										
\$1,885.71 \$1,794.41 \$1 	\$1,794.41 \$1	\$1	,743.69	\$1,316.75	\$1,008.34	\$714.05	\$484.97	\$343.65											
\$2,093.48 \$1,992.79	\$1,992.79	Ş	1,481.35	\$1,120.38	\$856.85	\$606.22	\$412.38												
\$2,324.92	\$1,692.97 \$	· ^	1,260.43	\$952.06	\$727.46	\$515.48													
ows discounted at 6.25 p management (insect cor ies (Burkett, Wichita, Wes ion, if grove floor cover c nan ideal location (i.e., sc nan ideal location (i.e., sc rapht of Line A - Subtract : right of Line A - Subtract	nted at 6.25 p ent (insect cor wichita, Wes e floor cover c ication (i.e., sc ication (i.e., sc ne A - Subtract ne A - Subtract	S p sc ct ct ac	ercent atrol and tern, etc.) onsists of uil type, cli it 50 percer	fertilizer) is l are suscept f unmanage imate), redu nt ent	A not used, rec tible to scab d grass and v ice the basic	verage Oklah duce values t and manage weeds, mow values as fol	homa price by 25 percei ed. reduce tr ed or not, o llows:	per pounc nt. able value: r is Ru	d of impro s further k ile of Thur	wes \$1.315 by 25 perce mb: 1. A pru 2. With per t -(Exe	(1998-2007 int. If unmai oducing pe 1 100 pounc tree value c ample: \$1,0	r) naged, valu ccan tree is ds change thanges by 52 per acre	*Total cost ue for nut p worth abo in yield per in yield per e ÷ 6 trees	*Optim t of produc production out 13.83 ti ut 13.83 ti r acre, per å vided by tre per acre =	ial grove w tion is 503 is zero. mes the vä acre value ees per acr \$175.33 vs	vill produc % of yearly alue of its i of trees ch re. alue chang	e 1,326 po / gross reve average an hanges by ge per tree	unds per a enue per a nual prod \$1,052;	acre. cre. uction.
ces: Heinrichs, Herman, 196	chs, Herman, 196	, 19 <del>ر</del>	50 Okla	homa Pecar	n Growers Pro	oceedings, p	ip. 27-29 an	d National	l Agricultu	ural Statistic	cs Service –	– Oklahom	na Quick Sta	ats					

The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation Agricultural Division



#### Improved pecan (left) compared to Native pecan.

used for hay. Certain legumes are suitable ground covers for pecan groves or orchards and may be capable of supplying the trees' nitrogen requirement plus enhancing beneficial arthropods in the orchard ecosystem. Mowing is used to manage vegetation height during the growing season and to prepare for harvest. In cultivar orchards, herbicides are frequently used for vegetation control in the tree row.

#### **Improved Cultivars vs. Native Pecans**

When determining the value of trees, it is important to find out if trees are improved cultivars (named varieties). The majority of cultivars are released from the university systems or from the USDA. These cultivars were studied for a long period of time and monitored for performance before they were released. Several of these releases worked great in commercial production, while others struggled or failed to perform to the established standards. On average, cultivars can be managed better and the return on management costs are better than on native trees. There are more management tools that can be used in an improved orchard that will improve production and quality of the pecans, compared to native groves. This typically is in relation to the size of the tree. Management strategies in a well-managed, improved orchard would include irrigation and control of insects, disease, weeds and wildlife.

If these management strategies are followed, trees can produce up to their maximum potential. If even one of these strategies is not incorporated, then potential production may be reduced by as much as 50 percent. If none of the strategies are used, then the production potential will be even lower and the value of the trees will be greatly reduced. The only value of the pecan tree then would be for lumber, firewood or shade. In a pecan grove or orchard, a value can be determined by referring to Table 1 for native trees and Table 2 for improved trees. The values in the tables reflect the degree of management. However, if trees are not managed, a viable crop will not be produced each year and the years without a crop should be factored into the value. Pecans are alternate bearing, meaning that they produce a good crop one year followed by one or more years of light to no crop. If the trees are unmanaged, this alternate bearing trend is more severe. If you harvest 80 pounds from a tree one year and the next harvested crop is

three years later with 60 pounds, then the average production over this four-year period would be 35 pounds.

#### **Determining Tree Value**

The productivity of a pecan orchard is based on many factors, but arguably the most important is the cross-sectional square feet of trunk area per acre. Cross-sectional square feet of trunk area per acre is the sum of the trunk sizes of the trees per acre (1a). Table 1 and Table 2 provide the value of a pecan tree depending upon its existence within a native orchard and improved orchard, respectively, with respect to how many cross-sectional square feet of trunk area are present per acre. The optimal level of cross-sectional square feet of trunk per acre is 30 square feet<sup>3</sup>, which is indicated within the tables just to the left of diagonal line A. The tables assume orchards with this optimal spacing and tree size will have an average annual yield of 884 pounds of pecans for native groves and 1,326 pounds of pecans for improved orchards. On a per tree basis, the cross- sectional square feet per acre begins to restrict nut production after 12 crosssectional square feet, as can be seen in the tables. The value of a producing pecan tree is based on its productivity into the future. Many pecan trees have been observed to be producing for more than 100 years, and, therefore, the tables assume productivity of a tree to be for many years (into perpetuity).

Table 1 and Table 2 are used by first determining how many trees are within a half-acre radius of the tree in question (include the tree that is being valued in this figure). Find the number of trees on the horizontal axis of the table. Then determine what the average diameter of the trees that were counted is in inches. Locate this number on the vertical axis. Go across horizontally and down vertically at your located



The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation Agricultural Division

numbers until they intersect. This number is the current value of the tree(s) being valued. The values in the tables were derived from equations 1b. Equation (1a):

$$\mathsf{CS} = \frac{\pi * \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{2}\right)^2}{144} * \mathsf{T}$$

Equation (1b):

$$\mathsf{TV} = \left[ \left[ \left[ \left( \mathsf{P} * \mathsf{Q} \right) - \left[ \mathsf{C} * \left( \mathsf{P} * \mathsf{Q} \right) \right] \right] / \mathsf{T} \right] / \mathsf{DR} \right]$$

Where:

- CS = Cross-sectional square feet of trunk area per acre
- D = Diameter in inches
- T = Trees per acre
- TV = Pecan tree value (dollar per tree)
- P = 10-year average price of native pecans in Oklahoma
- Q = Pounds of pecans per acre
- C = Total cost of production (variable and fixed) as a percentage of gross revenue
- DR = Discount rate

 $\pi = 3.14159$ 

For illustration purpose the following are two examples. Example one is for a native grove using the table valuation method and example two is an improved orchard using the formula valuation method.

# Example 1:

A track of six acres contains a pecan grove with 36 trees. All of the trees circumferences are measured at breast height (4.5 feet). The circumferences are summed (2,793.6 inches) and divided by the 36 trees (2,793.6  $\div$  36 = 77.6 inches) to determine the average circumference per tree in the grove. The average diameter of the trees would be 77.6  $\div$   $\pi$  which is 24.7 inches. Using Table 1 locate 24.7 on the left side of the table in the vertical axis. Then, locate the number the number of trees per acre (36  $\div$  6 = 6) on the top of the chart in the horizontal axis. Moving across the table to the right of 24.7 and down the table from 6 where the row and column meet the value of 499.19 appears. This means the average tree in the six acre grove is worth \$499.19.

# Example 2:

A track of 24 acres has an orchard with an average of six trees per acre. Production records show that over the last

ten years average in shell nut production per acre was 969 pounds. The price that received on average over the last 10 years was \$1.315 per pound. Total cost of production per year over the last 10 years has averaged 50 percent of the yearly gross revenue per acre. Using a discount rate of 6.25% each tree is valued as follows:

$$TV = \left[ \left[ \left[ (P * Q) - \left[ C * (P * Q) \right] \right] / T \right] / DR \right]$$
  

$$TV = \left[ \left[ \left[ \left[ (1.315 * 969) - \left[ .5 * (1.315 * 969) \right] \right] / 6 \right] / .0625 \right]$$
  

$$TV = \left[ \left[ \left[ 1274.235 - \left[ .5 * (1274.235) \right] \right] / 6 \right] / .0625 \right]$$
  

$$TV = \left[ \left[ \left[ 1274.235 - 637.115 \right] / 6 \right] / .0625 \right]$$
  

$$TV = \left[ \left[ \left[ 637.115 \right] / 6 \right] / .0625 \right]$$
  

$$TV = \left[ 106.1858 / .0625 \right]$$
  

$$TV = 1698.97$$

This means that the average value of a pecan tree in the orchard is worth \$1,698.97.

While both valuation methods provide an assessed value of a pecan tree it should be noted that using the formula valuation method is the most accurate on a per case basis. By using actual yields and prices received by a producer for his or her product is better than a table created for an average orchard or grove's production and prices.

# Conclusion

Because of the many variables involved, the process of determining the value of any one pecan tree or group of trees has historically been a subjective endeavor. This publication has attempted to quantify the various factors affecting nut yield for both native and cultivar trees thereby providing a more objective methodology for assessing the value of pecan trees.

It is anticipated the information contained in this publication, specifically Tables 1 and 2, will be used by appraisers, tax preparers, growers and other interested parties in determining the value of pecan trees.



- <sup>1</sup> Cochran, L.C. 1961. Pecan Research Program. Proc. Southeastern Pecan Growers Assn. 54th. 10-16.
- <sup>2</sup> 1987 and 2002 Ag Census
- <sup>3</sup> McCraw, Dean. Improving Native Pecan Groves. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service Fact Sheet F-6208.